Motions and votes

The following is a record of motions made and votes taken between June 29 and December 31, 2006:

A. **CC:DA/TF/ISBD Consolidated/1 and CC:DA/TF/ISBD Consolidated/2** [Charge and roster of the Task Force on the Review of ISBD Consolidated (July 2006 Draft); July 18, 2006]: 5 votes yes, 0 votes no; the motion passed. The motion was to form a Task Force to review ISBD Consolidated (July 2006 Draft).

B. **5JSC/ALA/3** [List of Specialist Cataloguing Manuals for RDA; Aug. 3, 2006]: 6 votes yes, 0 votes no; the motion passed. The motion was to approve a proposal based on the draft 5JSC/ALA/3, as modified during discussion. The proposal included discussion topics for the JSC as well as the list of specialist cataloging manuals.

C. [Withdrawal of the proposal to change AACR2 5.5B1 (CC:DA/MLA/2006/1) as modified at the CC:DA meeting in New Orleans; Aug. 8, 2006]: 7 votes yes, 0 votes no; the motion passed. The motion was to withdraw the proposal that CC:DA approved at ALA Annual 2006.

D. **5JSC/ALA/3/ALA follow-up** [List of Specialist Cataloguing Manuals for RDA: Possible additional titles; Aug. 25, 2006]: 5 votes yes, 1 vote no; the motion passed. The motion was to approve a follow-up to 5JSC/ALA/3 based on the draft distributed, as modified by comments during discussion. The proposal included not only additional titles of specialist cataloging manuals but additional discussion topics as well.

E. **5JSC/ACOC/2, 5JSC/ACOC/2/ALA response, 5JSC/CILIP/4, 5JSC/CILIP/4/ALA response, 5JSC/LC/7, and 5JSC/LC/7/ALA response** [Initial articles proposals for Maori & Pacific Island languages, Irish, and Breton; Aug. 29, 2006]: 6 votes yes, 0 votes no; the motion passed. The motion was to authorize ALA responses to the 3 proposals on initials articles based on comments by CC:DA collected in the Confluence database and discussion by CC:DA.

F. **5JSC/LC/8 and 5JSC/LC/8/ALA response** [Bible Uniform Titles; Aug. 31, 2006]: 6 votes yes, 0 votes no; the motion passed. The motion was to approve a response to
that expressed general support for the proposal, but indicated concerns about the optional approach of the alternative rule in 25.17 and about the complexity of distinguishing different canons of the Bible; and that conveyed other comments by CC:DA collected in the Confluence database or during discussion by CC:DA.

G. 5JSC/LC/5/Rev and 5JSC/LC/5/Rev/ALA response [RDA Part I Internationalization; Sept. 5, 2006]: 5 votes yes, 0 votes no; the motion passed. The motion was to authorize an ALA response to 5JSC/LC/5/Rev based on comments by CC:DA collected in the Confluence database and discussion by CC:DA.

H. 5JSC/CILIP/2 and 5JSC/CILIP/2/ALA response [Dimensions of binding and of item(s) contained therein; Sept. 7, 2006]: 6 votes yes, 0 votes no; the motion passed. The motion was to authorize an ALA response to 5JSC/CILIP/2 based on comments by CC:DA collected in the Confluence database and discussion by CC:DA.

I. 5JSC/CCC/1 and 5JSC/CCC/1/ALA response [Addition to Other agreements involving jurisdictions (7.9.5.5); Sept. 10, 2006]: 6 votes yes, 0 votes no; the motion passed. The motion was to authorize an ALA response to 5JSC/CCC/1 based on comments by CC:DA collected in the Confluence database and discussion by CC:DA.

J. 5JSC/LC/9/Rev and 5JSC/LC/9/Rev/ALA response [Video format characteristics; Sept. 11, 2006]: 6 votes yes, 0 votes no; the motion passed. The motion was to authorize an ALA response to 5JSC/LC/9/Rev based on comments by CC:DA collected in the Confluence database and discussion by CC:DA.

K. 5JSC/CILIP/3 and 5JSC/CILIP/3/ALA response [Accessible formats used by visually impaired people; Sept. 12, 2006]: 7 votes yes, 0 votes no; the motion passed. The motion was to authorize an ALA response to 5JSC/CILIP/3 based on comments by CC:DA collected in the Confluence database and discussion by CC:DA.

L. 5JSC/ACOC/1/Rev and 5JSC/ACOC/1/Rev/ALA response [Persistent identifiers and URLs; Sept. 13, 2006]: 6 votes yes, 0 votes no; the motion passed. The motion was to authorize an ALA response to 5JSC/ACOC/1/Rev based on comments by CC:DA collected in the Confluence database and discussion by CC:DA.

M. 5JSC/RDA/Part A/Categorization and 5JSC/RDA/Part A/Categorization/ALA response [Categorization of content and carrier; Sept. 18, 2006]: 6 votes yes, 0 votes no; the motion passed. The motion was to authorize an ALA response to 5JSC/RDA/Part A/Categorization based on comments by CC:DA collected in the Confluence database and discussion by CC:DA.

N. 5JSC/RDA/Part A/Chapters 6-7/ALA response [RDA Development Issues; Sept. 20, 2006]: 8 votes yes, 0 votes no; the motion passed. The motion was to include the document "RDA Development Issues" in the ALA response to the drafts of Chapters 6 and 7 of RDA.
O. 5JSC/RDA/Part A/Chapters 6-7 and 5JSC/RDA/Part A/Chapters 6-7/ALA response [RDA, Part A, Chapters 6-7; Sept. 22, 2006]: 6 votes yes, 0 votes no; the motion passed. The motion was to authorize an ALA response to 5JSC/RDA/Part A/Chapters 6-7, based on the Executive Summary of the ALA response to the draft of RDA Chapters 6 and 7 document written by the ALA Representative to the JSC, the paper titled Bibliographic Relationships in RDA Chapter 6 written by Bob Maxwell, comments by CC:DA collected in the Confluence database, and comments collected via the RDA form that was available on the ALCTS Website.


Q. CC:DA/TF/Statement of International Cataloguing Principles/1 and CC:DA/TF/Statement of International Cataloguing Principles/2 [Charge and roster of the Task Force to Review the Statement of International Cataloguing Principles; Nov. 1, 2006]: 6 votes yes, 0 votes no; the motion passed. The motion was to form a task force to review the latest draft of the Statement of International Cataloguing Principles and develop a CC:DA white paper, to be posted on the CC:DA web page.

R. CC:DA/Chair/2006-2007/2 [Review of revisions to FRBR section 3.2.2; Dec. 18, 2006]: 7 votes yes, 0 votes no; the motion passed. The motion was to approve a response to the world-wide review of Revisions to FRBR section 3.2.2, definition of the entity expression, based on the draft distributed and on comments made during CC:DA discussion.

Other actions

- **Drafts of sections of Part A of RDA and other related JSC documents**

From July through the beginning of October CC:DA reviewed the drafts of sections of Part A and related JSC documents. Besides the draft of Chapters 6 and 7 and the draft of sections 3.2, 3.3, and 4.2 (5JSC/RDA/Part A/Categorization), CC:DA members reviewed ten proposals from the other JSC constituencies, prepared a proposal for JSC listing specialist cataloging manuals, reviewed the RDA Part I - Constituency Review of December 2005 Draft - Response Table (5JSC/RDA/Part I/Sec follow-up) and reviewed the Mode of Issuance paper. As in the past, the Confluence site hosted at UCSB was used to gather CC:DA comments. Due to the bulk of the documents to review, deadlines for comment entry were staggered:

- July 28: Response Table comments
- July 31: List of Specialist Cataloging Manuals
Comments on the drafts of Chapters 6 and 7 from non-CC:DA members in the United States were gathered using a form on the ALCTS website. Comments submitted via the form were emailed to the chair and interns and were entered into Confluence. The deadline for comment submission was Aug. 7 to allow time to compile the comments and enter them into Confluence by Aug. 14 for review by CC:DA members.

Beginning in late August, email discussions of major issues arising from the comments in Confluence ensued on the CC:DA listserv. Major issues discussed included: mixed responsibility in Chapter 7, “performances” in Chapter 7, bibliographic relationships in Chapter 6, the RDA development process, and the order of Chapters 6 and 7 within RDA. Discussions were aided greatly by documents written by John Attig (mixed responsibility), Bob Maxwell (bibliographic relationships), and a subgroup led by Paul Weiss (RDA development process). Using the results of these email discussions, discussions from CC:DA meetings at ALA Annual 2006, and the comments entered into Confluence, responses to all the JSC documents requiring responses were written by Jennifer Bowen and several CC:DA members: John Attig, Everett Allgood, Manon Théroux, Keiko Suzuki, Greta De Groat, and Kathy Winzer.

### Review of ISBD Consolidated (July 2006 Draft)

The charge and roster for the Task Force on the Review of ISBD Consolidated (July 2006 Draft) were completed on July 26. The task force issued two reports: **CC:DA/TF/ISBD Consolidated/3** that reviewed of the ISBD itself and **CC:DA/TF/ISBD Consolidated/4** that identified elements possibly in conflict with or would impact AACR2r and/or RDA. The reports were issued Oct. 12 and Oct. 24 respectively.

In **CC:DA/TF/ISBD Consolidated/3** the task force focused on the ISBD Review Group’s request that comments be identified as “for consideration now for the consolidated ISBD or for future work of the Review Group.” CC:DA used the report as the basis of its response to the ISBD Review Group. Amendments for the response were made during email discussions. In particular CC:DA discussed and amended the Future considerations section of the report. The CC:DA response was sent to the Chair of the ISBD Review Group and the Chair of the Study Group on Future Directions of the ISBDs on Oct. 17.

**CC:DA/TF/ISBD Consolidated/4** is posted on the task force web page and should be useful for CC:DA as the RDA development process continues.
Review of the Statement of International Cataloguing Principles

In November CC:DA voted to establish a task force to review the Statement of International Cataloguing Principles. The charge and roster were completed on Dec. 15. The charge of the task force is three-fold:

- To prepare an interim review paper on the latest available draft to be submitted to the CC:DA chair by May 15, 2007.
- To be the primary body within CC:DA to compare and contrast the latest available version of the Statement of International Cataloguing Principles with RDA as RDA review and development proceeds.
- To prepare a report for CC:DA that will form the basis of the ALA response when the Statement invitation for worldwide review is issued.

Although the invitation for the worldwide review of the Statement is not expected until late 2007 or early 2008, the task force gives CC:DA a method for keeping abreast of the issues as well as positioning CC:DA to be able to respond to the invitation for worldwide review in a timely and efficient manner.

Review of revisions to FRBR section 3.2.2

The invitation to participate in the worldwide review of revisions to FRBR section 3.2.2, definition of the entity expression, was issued in November by the IFLA FRBR Review Group. CC:DA members entered comments on the revisions into Confluence by Dec. 10. Using those comments, John Attig drafted a response. During the email discussions of the draft, Barbara Tillett shared the LC response with CC:DA. CC:DA discussed both the draft response and the LC response and the draft response was revised. The final response was sent to the Chair of the FRBR Review Group and the Chair of the Working Group on the Expression Entity on Dec. 18.

Online Communities and other ALA groupware

Investigation and evaluation of ALA groupware options for used by CC:DA continued. The current use of the Confluence software by CC:DA began under the tenure of the past chair and the software is graciously hosted by the Davidson Library, University of California, Santa Barbara. Migration to ALA hosted groupware would allow the past chair and UCSB to be free of the responsibility of Confluence maintenance for CC:DA.

CC:DA member Laura Smart agreed to continue working on the evaluation of the groupware options. On Oct. 18, 2006 she and the chair participated in the three hour training for administrators of Online Communities. The functionality of the Online Communities duplicates much of what the CC:DA website maintained by John Attig does but would not be publicly available. The email function requires members to log into the software to retrieve messages rather than having messages sent to each member’s outside email address.
Document collaboration would need to use something like a wiki that would need to be set up and administered separately.

Because of the duplicative nature of Online Communities, training requirements, and other issues, the chair has decided not to migrate to Online Communities at this time. The decision can easily be revisited if functionality or circumstances change.

CC:DA needs to migrate to a document collaboration software package hosted by ALA. ALA provides wikis using MediaWiki. Since Confluence is a wiki, training issues for CC:DA members is hoped to be minimal; ALA provides no training on MediaWiki. The CC:DA wiki will be set up for trial use by CC:DA members after Midwinter 2007. If the trial is successful, CC:DA will migrate fully to the ALA hosted wiki by Annual 2007. If a decision to use Online Communities is made in the future, the CC:DA wiki should be able to be incorporated into Online Communities using its Iframe module.